

LIST OF ORIGINAL COMMENTS ON OPERATIONAL REVIEW TOR

Name of the standard: ASC Pangasius Standard v1.0

Published date: 2012

Stakeholder group	Organisation	Method of commenting	Principle/criterion/indicator/requirement	Comment in detail	Rationale	Stakeholder proposal	Also applies to:
Non industry	New England Aquarium	Email	2.1.1	Comment on farm location and pond management		review to ensure that they reflect that Pangasius is not only farmed in Vietnam.	2.2.1 2.2.2
Non industry	New England Aquarium	Email	2.2.2	Comment on contribution of at least USD 0.50 per ton fish produced to the environment		Assess whether this criterion needs to be revised or removed. What is the status of this fund?	
Non industry	New England Aquarium	Email	2.2.3	Comment on indicator of no negative impact and no discharging of earth	It is difficult to provide evidence of absence: i.e., to provide evidence of no negative impacts on endangered species and no discharge of earth into water bodies.	Consider revising language	2.2.4
Non industry	New England Aquarium	Email	3.2.1	Comment on diurnal oxygen demand		Reassess to ensure that sufficient evidence exists to support that the indicator and performance metric are effective means of achieving the desired objective of limiting eutrophication.	
Non industry	New England Aquarium	Email	3.6.1	Comment on energy consumption		assess energy consumption data collected from audited farms to determine if there is sufficient data to set energy use performance requirements.	
Non industry	New England Aquarium	Email	4.1.3	Comment on evidence that the species cannot establish in the river basin	It is difficult, if not impossible, to provide conclusive evidence that a species cannot become established.	Revise	
Non industry	New England Aquarium	Email	4.5	Comment on escapees		Consider adding a limit on the number of escapes to help reduce the risk of catastrophic escapes and to provide consistency with other standards.	
Non industry	New England Aquarium	Email	6.5	Is 6.5.1 necessary given Criterion 6.1.1 (maximum average real percentage mortality) or do they constitute "double accounting"?		Assess whether these indicators are appropriate.	
Non industry	New England Aquarium	Email	Audit Manual	Review audit manual.	While auditors state whether requirements are met, often they do not provide the underlying data. Such data can be used to help assess the appropriateness of the performance metrics	Consider adding requirements for the collection and inclusion of performance data in the audit reports or some other accessible database	
Non industry	New England Aquarium	Email	N/A	Comment on effective hatchery practices		Add requirements related to effective hatchery practices (i.e., escapes, chemical use, broodstock collection and management).	